**BJCP Cider Exam Proctor Instructions**

Your purpose as proctor for the BJCP exam is to be the eyes, nose, and palate of the exam graders who will be grading the examinees using your perception of the exam ciders. While you should always strive to generate proper and complete score sheets it is especially important when you are a proctor for an exam since the graders will not be able to evaluate the cider you and the examinees are writing score sheets for. Doing a poor job on the proctor scoresheets does a disservice to everyone sitting the exam with you.

There are only two times where the BJCP officially sees score sheets you generate; the first is when you take the exam and the second is when you volunteer to help proctor a BJCP exam. In return for judging the exam ciders you receive experience points, in return the BJCP expects a very complete score sheet. The BJCP reserves the right to withhold some or all of the experience points if you do not provide an adequate set of score sheets.

You as a proctor are allowed to use the style guidelines while the examinees are not. Use the style guidelines to make sure you are describing the cider versus the current guidelines not versus your perhaps faulty recollection of the style. With exception of using the style guidelines, you are to judge the ciders with the same information the examinees have – just the cider style and subcategory names. Try not to make comments or non-verbal expressions while judging the cider that would influence the other proctor(s).

You will notice that the proctor scoresheet has even more space available for your comments than a normal competition scoresheet. You are expected to comment on every aspect of the cider / perry. Use the fine print under each subsection heading to guide you in your comments. Comment on the presence or absence of each characteristic appropriate for the subsection, just because an aspect is expected or common in the style does not mean you don’t need to mention it – remember the graders won’t get to taste the cider / perry. Include not just the primary characteristics but also the secondary and tertiary characteristics. You need to provide quantitative levels (high, medium, low, none) when describing the characteristics. You need to provide information that will allow the exam graders to virtually perceive the cider / perry via your descriptions.

The procedure for producing score sheets when proctoring the exam is slightly different than in a normal competition setting.

* First, you should NOT communicate with the other proctor(s) in any manner while producing your score sheet.
* Second, you should NOT attempt to reconcile your scores when you are finished judging the cider / perry.
* Third, you do not provide feedback to the entrant on how to improve the cider / perry. Instead, you should use the Overall Impression section of the scoresheet to explain to the graders why you assigned the score you did to the cider / perry.

After you and the other proctor(s) have finished your scoresheet, you should generate a consensus score for the cider / perry. In some exam settings, for example when the proctors are seated right next to the examinees, it may be best to wait until after the exam proper is finished to generate the consensus score(s) to avoid disturbing the examinees or inadvertently disclosing details about the cider / perry(s) to the examinees. The consensus score goes on a separate form along with the individual scores from each proctor.